

# CBC work plan 2019

## Sub-Committee on Peer Reviews

### Annual progress report (as of 1<sup>st</sup> June 2019)

#### KEY TO PROGRESS INDICATOR COLOURS

	Initiatives / projects on schedule
	Initiatives / projects behind schedule
	Delayed owing to reasons beyond WS control
	Serious difficulties being experienced
	Not yet scheduled to start
	Initiatives / projects completed

Strategic objective	Strategies & initiatives	Performance indicator	Progress, key action items, risks
<p>Share capacity insights and ensure responsiveness to SAI needs through, for example, communities of practice.</p> <p>[Strategic goal 2.4]</p>	<p>Continue to promote peer reviews as capacity development tool and facilitate the exchange of best practices and access to relevant communities of practice in the field</p> <p>[Key strategies to achieve goal 2 and strategic objectives #3]</p>	<p><b>Paper on Peer Reviews</b> <i>(Examples of Good Practice)</i></p>	<p><b>Progress to date:</b> the structure and broad contents of the paper having broad outlines before the end of 2019.  <b>Next steps:</b> addressing the INTOSAI community in first half of 2020, especially those SAIs with wide experience with the topic, about their possible participation in the project.  <b>Key risks:</b> low level of interest in project from possible partners due to a glut of projects and sourcing issues within INTOSAI community.  <b>Mitigating action:</b> liaise electronically and in person with INTOSAI Committees and wide community, IDI and regions as well as others interested and possibly involved.</p>
		<p>Training Seminar <i>(seminar for peer reviewing and peer reviewed SAIs, with a headline:</i></p> <p><b>GETTING READY FOR A PEER REVIEW</b></p>	<p><b>Progress to date:</b> Following the global conference on peer reviews 2018, the feedback from the conference and global survey 2019, a pool of knowledge was formed on the issue.  <b>Next steps:</b> To develop the structure and contents of the seminar in second half 2019 / first half 2020, seminar conducted in the first half of 2021.  <b>Key risks:</b> Low level of interest in project from possible partners and participants because of narrow target group of interested specialists and recent global seminar on peer reviews conducted in 2018.  <b>Mitigating action:</b> Close cooperation with SAIs that declared their interest to get involved based on results of global peer review survey, year 2019 including, and further marketing of the event in the virtual environs.</p>
		<p>Global peer review survey 2019</p>	<p><b>Progress to date:</b> Survey executed in January and February 2019, the results presented electronically on CBC (April 2019) and IJGA web sites.  <b>Next steps:</b> Continue to conduct the bi-yearly surveys along the development and sharing the peer review documentation and experience from within INTOSAI community. Next survey in 2021.  <b>Key risks:</b> In general, global peer review surveys is limited to narrow audience due its character, potential actors limited by resources available, overwhelmed by other information/projects. A yearly cycle to change to bi-yearly.  <b>Mitigating action:</b> continuous surveys marketing using available electronic tools.</p>
		<p>ISSAI 5600 review</p>	<p><b>Progress to date:</b> According to ISSAI framework, the standard should be reviewed every three years. The experience from the use of the standard within the INTOSAI community since 2010 suggests a 6-year cycle is substantial as presented and approved at CBC meeting in Kuwait, 2018.  <b>Next steps:</b> To reassess the present standard structure and contents in 2019 - 2020. The reviewed standard to be presented to FIPP and GB before the INCOSAI 2022.  <b>Key risks:</b> rising the interest in the community for direct cooperation/involvement in the project might be difficult.  <b>Mitigating action:</b> Close cooperation with SAIs and other entities known for their involvement in the peer review. For example global peer review surveys and other activities in the given area.</p>