

CBC work plan 2020 - 2022

Sub-Committee on Peer Reviews

Work plan 2020 – 2022 (as of 1st June 2019)

| KEY TO PROGRESS INDICATOR COLOURS | |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| | Initiatives / projects on schedule |
| | Initiatives / projects behind schedule |
| | Delayed owing to reasons beyond WS control |
| | Serious difficulties being experienced |
| | Not yet scheduled to start |
| | Initiatives / projects completed |

| Strategic objective | Strategies & initiatives | Performance indicator | Progress, key action items, risks |
|--|--|---|---|
| <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 10px;"> <p style="text-align: center;">Share capacity insights and ensure responsiveness to SAI needs through, for example, communities of practice.</p> </div> <p><i>[Strategic goal 2.4]</i></p> | <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 10px;"> <p style="text-align: center;">Continue to promote peer reviews as capacity development tool and facilitate the exchange of best practices and access to relevant communities of practice in the field</p> </div> <p><i>[Key strategies to achieve goal 2 and strategic objectives #3]</i></p> | <p>ISSAI 5600 review</p> | <p>Timeline: According to ISSAI framework, the standard to be reviewed every three years. The experience since 2010 suggests a 6-year cycle is substantial and as such was presented and noted at CBC meeting in Kuwait, 2018.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 2019 – 2020: to reassess the present standard structure and contents. - 2020 – 2021: Execute the works on the standard. - 2022: Present the reviewed standard to FIPP and GB before the INCOSAI 2022. <p>Key risks: rising the interest in the community for direct and active cooperation/involvement in the project might be difficult.</p> <p>Mitigating action: Close cooperation with SAIs and other entities known for their involvement in the peer reviews in INTOSAI community.</p> |
| | | <p>Training Seminar (seminar for peer reviewing and peer reviewed SAIs, with a headline: GETTING READY FOR A PEER REVIEW)</p> | <p>Timeline: A pool of knowledge on the issue from the past activities of the Sub-Committee on Peer Reviews was formed.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - First half of 2020: to develop the structure and contents of the seminar; second half of 2020: invite the INTOSAI community to the seminar; - First half of 2021: organising the seminar in three working languages (English, French and Spanish). <p>Key risks: Low level of interest in project from possible partners and participants because of narrow target group of interested specialists.</p> <p>Mitigating action: Close cooperation with SAIs that declared their interest to get involved based on results of global peer review survey, year 2019 including, and further marketing of the event in the virtual environs.</p> |
| | | <p>Paper on Peer Reviews (Examples of Good Practice)</p> | <p>Timeline: Along the standard ISSAI 5600 and according to gained experience from Sub-Committee activities, a compendium of knowledge on the issue of peer reviews substantiate a paper.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Before the end of 2019: the structure and contents of the paper; - First half of 2020: addressing the INTOSAI community about their participation in the project. - Before the end of 2020: contribution by individual authors (SAIs); - Second half of 2021: publication of the paper in four languages English, French, Spanish and Arabic; <p>Key risks: level of interest in project from possible partners due to a glut of projects and sourcing issues within INTOSAI community.</p> <p>Mitigating action: liaise electronically and in person with INTOSAI Committees and wide community, IDI and regions as well as others interested and possibly involved.</p> |
| | | <p>Global peer review survey 2021</p> | <p>Timeline: Continue to conduct the bi-yearly surveys.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - To execute the survey in January and February 2021, the results presented electronically on CBC and IJGA web sites in April 2021. <p>Key risks: In general, global peer review surveys is limited to narrow audience due its character and contents , potential actors overwhelmed by other information/projects. A yearly cycle changed to bi-yearly.</p> <p>Mitigating action: continuous marketing of survey using available electronic tools.</p> |