

CBC work plan 2020 - 2022

Sub-Committee on Peer Reviews (Slovakia)

Work plan 2020 – 2022 (as of 21st July 2020)

KEY TO PROGRESS INDICATOR COLOURS	
	Initiatives / projects on schedule
	Initiatives / projects behind schedule
	Delayed owing to reasons beyond WS control
	Serious difficulties being experienced
	Not yet scheduled to start
	Initiatives / projects completed

Strategic objective	Strategies & initiatives	Performance indicator	Progress, key action items, risks
<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 10px;"> <p style="text-align: center;">Share capacity insights and ensure responsiveness to SAI needs through, for example, communities of practice.</p> </div> <p><i>[Strategic goal 2.4]</i></p>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 10px;"> <p style="text-align: center;">Continue to promote peer reviews as capacity development tool and facilitate the exchange of best practices and access to relevant communities of practice in the field</p> </div> <p><i>[Key strategies to achieve goal 2 and strategic objectives #3]</i></p>	<p>(ISSAI 5600)</p> <p>GUID 1900 review</p>	<p>Progress to date: According to ISSAI framework, the standard to be reviewed every three years. The experience from the use of the standard within the INTOSAI community since 2010 suggests a 6-year cycle AND EVEN LONGER is substantial as presented and approved at CBC meeting in Kuwait, 2018. Reviewed version in force since 2016.</p> <p>Next steps: To reassess the present standard structure and contents in second half of 2020. To liaise with the CBC Committee leadership and Secretariat for possible enhanced cooperation in the matter. To reassess the need for the review for INCOSAI 2022. Possible presentation of the GUID 1900 to the FIPP in 2023/24.</p> <p>Key risks: rising the interest in the community for direct cooperation/involvement in the project might be difficult.</p> <p>Mitigating action: Close cooperation with SAIs and other entities known for their involvement in the peer review based on, for example, global peer review surveys and other activities in the given area.</p>
		<p>Training Seminar <i>(seminar for peer reviewing and peer reviewed SAIs, with a headline: GETTING READY FOR A PEER REVIEW)</i></p>	<p>Progress to date: Following the global conference on peer reviews 2018, the feedback from the conference and global surveys 2014 - 2019, a pool of knowledge was formed for the structure and contents of the Seminar. Due to COVID 19, the process delayed by a year.</p> <p>Next steps: To adjust the structure and contents of the seminar accordingly in second half of 2020, seminar to be held in the second half of 2021 (October/November). If pandemic persists preventing the seminar organisation in 2021, next possible term is March 2022.</p> <p>Key risks: Low level of interest in project from possible partners and participants because of narrow target group of interested specialists. Continuing pandemic COVID 19 preventing the travel to Bratislava.</p> <p>Mitigating action: Close cooperation with SAIs that declared their interest to get involved based on results of global peer review surveys, further marketing of the event in the virtual environs.</p>
		<p>Compendium on Peer Reviews <i>(Examples of Good Practice)</i></p>	<p>Progress to date: COVID 19 demonstrated itself here too, not many SAI responded/sent contribution (6 so far)</p> <p>Next steps: addressing repeatedly the INTOSAI community in second half of 2020 about possible participation in the project by the most experienced SAIs. Publishing the Compendium in first half of 2021.</p> <p>Key risks: low level of interest in project due to a dominant position of SAI PMF as assessing tool along sourcing issues.</p> <p>Mitigating action: liaise electronically and in person with INTOSAI Committees and wide community again as in 2019/2020.</p>
		<p>Global peer review survey 2021</p>	<p>Timeline: Continuing to conduct the bi-yearly surveys.</p> <p>Next steps: To execute the survey in January and February 2021, to present results electronically on CBC and IJGA web sites in April 2021.</p> <p>Key risks: In general, global peer review surveys is limited to narrow audience due its character and contents, potential actors overwhelmed by other information/projects. A yearly cycle changed to bi-yearly, previous in 2019.</p> <p>Mitigating action: continuous marketing of survey using available electronic tools.</p>