



GOAL 2 OPERATIONAL PLAN FOLLOW-UP

CBC STEERING COMMITTEE 24 JUNE 2026

Goal 2 Contributors



General observations



Strong thematic convergence



Weaker coordination in how activities are delivered together



Strongest engagement in auditor competence, quality management, stakeholder engagement and peer support



Most uneven areas: audit follow-up systems and SAI performance reporting



Data gaps and uneven reporting reduce visibility on progress, collaboration and outcomes.

Areas with uneven engagement

Lowest coverage: Audit follow-up systems

Uneven attention: SAI performance reporting

Regional variation: SAI PMF

Incomplete sources: Funding-related issues

High level of alignment, lower levels of cooperation

- Many contributors active in multiple areas, using similar intervention
- Shared understanding of priorities, high risk of parallel processes
- Overlaps in several areas – or is it a sign of regional roll-out?
- More alignment on “what” than “how we do it together”

The issue is not lack of activity — it is how to turn similar efforts into more coordinated collective value

Collaboration exists, but is uneven (or invisible)

Stronger visible collaboration	Less visible collaboration
Bilateral peer support	SAI independence
Quality management	Funding of regions / donor funding
SAI PMF	Audit follow-up systems

Shared tools and platforms appear to be the strongest enablers of collaboration

The role of global actors

- IDI and IDC appear as connectors and enablers across indicators
- CBC has a cross-cutting role and functions as a system integrator
- Common tools and methods can reduce fragmentation, support regional adaptation

Together we have an opportunity to shift from reporting on activities to a focus on complementarities and shared ownership

Future actions for steering committee consideration



Close evidence gaps with input from all contributors



Shift reporting from planned activities to progress, outcomes and collaboration



Analyse the weaker areas and prioritise a stronger collective response



Analyse areas with heavy overlap, identify how duplication can be reduced



Gather lessons to inform the next strategic planning cycle to increase joint commitment, ownership and collaboration

Issues for discussion



Where does the steering committee most want to see stronger collective ambition – in the short and long term?



Which high-overlap areas are mature enough for more intentional coordination?



What minimum reporting expectations should apply if the Goal 2 Operational Plan is to be useful as a strategic tool to guide all contributors?



What should be changed in the next planning period so that Goal 2 becomes jointly owned across all contributors?

The system is active and aligned — the next challenge is to make it more collectively organised, better evidenced and more strategic in the areas that matter most

THANK YOU.